



## Central Bedfordshire Health and Wellbeing Board

**Contains Confidential or Exempt Information** No

**Title of Report** Health and Wellbeing Scorecard

**Meeting Date:** 27 July 2016

**Responsible Officer(s)** Muriel Scott, Director of Public Health

**Presented by:** Celia Shohet, Assistant Director of Public Health

---

### Action Required:

- 1. To consider the proposed scorecard for the Health and Wellbeing Board which will be used to assess progress in delivering the Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy.**
- 2. To identify any additional key measures which the Board would wish to see included.**

---

### Executive Summary

<b>1.</b>	<p>The Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy has four cross cutting priorities where the Board wants to make the fastest progress:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ensuring good mental health and wellbeing at every age.</li><li>• Giving every child the best start in life.</li><li>• Enabling people to stay healthy for longer.</li><li>• Improving outcomes for frail older people.</li></ul> <p>The scorecard proposed includes the key measures to provide an indication of progress and will be presented to the Board each quarter for review.</p>
-----------	---

### Background

<b>2.</b>	<p>The Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy (JWHS) was agreed by the Board in April 2015. To date the update reports on progress for each priority have included measures of success and associated outcomes but they have not been brought together in one scorecard.</p>
<b>3.</b>	<p>The format of the scorecard builds on those currently in existence and that adopted by the Children's Trust has been identified as being best suited to the JHWS.</p>

4.	<p>The proposed scorecard includes a range of measures which have been chosen because they:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Directly measure the desired outcome or are a process measure when an outcome measure is not available e.g. access to care measures.</li> <li>• Are generally measures already in existence and therefore don't require additional resource to collect.</li> <li>• Represent a range in frequency of reporting from monthly to annual.</li> <li>• Are available at a CBC level and in some cases at either a locality, practice or ward level.</li> </ul>															
5.	<p>To understand the size of the challenge the scorecards will include, where possible, the number of residents affected by the issues presented. For example 12,485 CBC residents have diagnosed diabetes (2014/15) and if progress is made to reduce some of the risk factors for diabetes, such as excess weight, then this figure should stabilise and reduce.</p>															
6.	<p>The scorecard performance judgement for all scorecards is shown below</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="461 972 1366 1267" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3" style="text-align: center;"><b>Performance Judgement</b></th> </tr> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Direction of travel (DoT)</th> <th colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">RAG score (Standard scoring rules unless the indicator specifies alternative scoring arrangements)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">↓ Performance is worsening</td> <td style="text-align: center;">▲</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Target missed by 10% or more</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">→ Performance remains unchanged</td> <td style="text-align: center;">●</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Target missed by less than 10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">↑ Performance is improving</td> <td style="text-align: center;">★</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Target achieved</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<b>Performance Judgement</b>			Direction of travel (DoT)	RAG score (Standard scoring rules unless the indicator specifies alternative scoring arrangements)		↓ Performance is worsening	▲	Target missed by 10% or more	→ Performance remains unchanged	●	Target missed by less than 10%	↑ Performance is improving	★	Target achieved
<b>Performance Judgement</b>																
Direction of travel (DoT)	RAG score (Standard scoring rules unless the indicator specifies alternative scoring arrangements)															
↓ Performance is worsening	▲	Target missed by 10% or more														
→ Performance remains unchanged	●	Target missed by less than 10%														
↑ Performance is improving	★	Target achieved														

<b>Detailed Recommendation</b>	
7.	<p>There is some tension between keeping the scorecard relatively simple and therefore high level, yet providing enough detail to ensure that the drivers of outcomes are seen in the broadest sense. The draft scorecards provide a range of measures and the ones which are recommended to form the final scorecard are highlighted.</p>
8.	<p>The Board wants to be ambitious in achieving outcomes for residents and therefore benchmarks and targets need to be correspondingly challenging. However it is unwise to set different targets for the same indicator which is reported in other forums e.g. Children's Trust. It is therefore recommended that where a target has already been set, that this is used for this scorecard, however in other areas, where new targets need to be established, challenging targets should be agreed.</p>

<b>Issues</b>	
Governance & Delivery	
9.	The scorecard will be reported to the Health and Wellbeing Board on a quarterly basis.
Financial	
10.	There no financial implications directly associated with this proposal.
Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED)	
11.	The PSED requires public bodies to consider all individuals when carrying out their day to day work – in shaping policy, in delivering services and in relation to their own employees. It requires public bodies to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations between in respect of nine protected characteristics; age disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.
	Are there any risks issues relating Public Sector Equality Duty <span style="float: right;">No</span>
	If yes – outline the risks and how these would be mitigated

Source Documents	Location (including url where possible)

---

Presented by Celia Shohet